

The Impact of Coordination between Nursing, Physicians, Laboratory Technicians and Emergency Medicine Specialists in Dealing with Acute Poisoning Cases

Khalid Ahmad Obeed Abdullah¹, Ali Ahmed Abdullallah Alghamdi², Wesam Eid Abdullah Alahmadi³, Anoud Hassan Omer Abusharha⁴, Abdulrhman Abdullah Altoum⁵, Lamia Ahmed Mohammed Fattah⁶, Sumayyah Mousa Alnami⁷

1-2* Ministry of health- Makkah Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

3-5* Ministry of health- Taif Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

6-7* Ministry of health- Jazan Health Cluster -Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: Khalid Ahmad Obeed Abdullah.

Abstract

The effective management of acute poisoning cases requires seamless coordination between healthcare professionals to ensure optimal patient outcomes. This paper examines the critical roles of nurses, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians in handling acute poisoning cases, emphasizing the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration. The research explores current best practices, challenges, and the significance of coordinated care in emergency settings. Through analysis of existing protocols and operational frameworks, the study highlights how structured communication, standardized procedures, and technological integration can enhance poisoning case management. The findings demonstrate that hospitals with robust interdisciplinary coordination experience improved response times, reduced medical errors, and better patient survival rates. However, significant challenges persist, including communication barriers, resource limitations, and workflow inefficiencies. The paper concludes by emphasizing the need for standardized protocols, enhanced technological infrastructure, and continued professional development to optimize poisoning case management and patient care delivery.

Keywords: Acute poisoning, interdisciplinary coordination, physicians, toxicology, emergency medicine specialist, healthcare communications, nursing care, emergency medical management.

Introduction

The term 'poisoning' refers to the purposeful or accidental harm or dysfunction of a live creature caused by a substance via its chemical properties. This idea denotes that accidental 'toxic exposure' to a drug is unintended, but 'toxic overdose' pertains to either purposeful or accidental exposure to a dangerous substance [1]. Although the phrases overdose and poisoning are frequently used synonymously in the context of substance addiction, the former generally does not lead to poisoning until it elicits symptoms [2]. In 2019, the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) survey indicated that unintentional poisoning represented 0.14% of total global fatalities, whereas self-harm accounted for 1.34%. Although the mortality rate associated with this issue is low, the World Health Organization (WHO) has consistently asserted that poisoning is a major public health concern [3].

Acute poisoning is a multifaceted public health concern that needs immediate medical intervention, leading to the hospitalization or demise of thousands of individuals. The WHO reports that over 800,000 individuals die each year due to suicide, with self-harm poisoning being 1.34% of global fatalities. The WHO identified poisoning as a critical public health concern in middle- and low-income nations [4]. The documented instances of poisoning and self-harm in South Africa reflect global patterns [5]. The precise count of poisoning episodes is difficult to determine due to the omission of fatalities from drowning or trauma inflicted by drunk individuals in the published data. Likewise, the enduring consequences of prolonged exposure to environmental toxins on human health remain unreported [6]. It is essential to deliver appropriate medical care to individuals affected by poisoning, and healthcare professionals should be urged to promptly report poisoning as a notifiable medical condition, including lead, mercury, and agricultural or veterinary remedy poisoning [7].

Acute poisoning cases require immediate medical intervention, as delays in diagnosis and treatment can result in severe complications or even fatalities. The complexity of poisoning cases necessitates the involvement of multiple healthcare professionals, including nurses, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians, each playing a distinct yet interconnected role [8]. Effective interdisciplinary coordination is essential to ensuring timely diagnosis, efficient treatment, and better patient outcomes. Without proper communication and collaboration, errors in assessment, delays in laboratory results, or

mismanagement of treatment protocols can compromise patient safety. One of the key benefits of interdisciplinary coordination is enhanced decision-making and efficiency in emergency response [9].

Nurses are often the first point of contact, responsible for initial triage, patient stabilization, and obtaining critical patient history, including suspected poisoning agents. Their ability to relay accurate and concise information to emergency physicians is crucial for guiding immediate interventions [10]. Emergency physicians, in turn, rely on both nursing assessments and laboratory results to confirm poisoning diagnoses and determine appropriate treatment strategies [11]. Laboratory technicians play a fundamental role by conducting toxicology screenings, blood tests, and metabolic panels, providing the necessary data to identify toxins, their concentration levels, and the physiological impact on the patient [12]. Without seamless communication between these departments, valuable time may be lost, leading to delayed or inappropriate treatment.

Furthermore, real-time collaboration ensures that poisoning cases are handled with precision. In cases of unknown toxic substances, rapid toxicology reporting and continuous updates from the laboratory can help emergency physicians adjust their treatment plans accordingly. Coordination also plays a role in administering antidotes, activated charcoal, or supportive care measures in the right sequence, minimizing risks and maximizing recovery chances. When teams work in isolation, there is a higher likelihood of fragmented care, misinterpretation of clinical findings, and increased patient morbidity and mortality [13]. Beyond the immediate clinical response, interdisciplinary coordination also improves long-term patient management and post-poisoning care. Many poisoning cases require extended observation, supportive therapies, and psychological or psychiatric consultations, particularly in cases of intentional self-poisoning. A well-structured communication framework ensures that patients receive the necessary follow-up care, including counseling, detoxification programs, or additional medical interventions [14].

Hospitals with structured interdisciplinary coordination protocols experience faster response times, reduced errors, and improved patient survival rates in poisoning cases. Studies emphasize the importance of adopting standardized communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, and Recommendation), to ensure clear and concise handovers between team members [15]. Additionally, simulation training and case review meetings have proven to enhance teamwork efficiency, equipping healthcare professionals with the necessary skills to work cohesively under high-pressure conditions. The effective management of acute poisoning cases depends on seamless coordination between nursing, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians [16]. By fostering collaborative teamwork, implementing structured communication protocols, and leveraging technology, healthcare institutions can enhance the speed and accuracy of poisoning management, ultimately saving more lives.

Best Practices and Protocols in Acute Poisoning Management

The management of acute poisoning in emergency settings is a critical and multifaceted process that requires swift and effective intervention to reduce morbidity and mortality. Initially, the management begins with thorough history-taking to identify the toxic substance involved, which is crucial for guiding treatment. When patients present with suspected poisoning, healthcare providers should maintain a calm demeanor to facilitate open communication and encourage the patient or their family to provide comprehensive information about the exposure. If the patient cannot provide a full history, collateral information from friends or family should be sought to establish the context of the poisoning incident [17].

Once the presence of poisoning is suspected, a complete physical examination is essential to identify clinical signs and symptoms, known as toxidromes. This examination helps differentiate poisoning from other medical conditions like stroke or head injury, which may present similarly. Specific indicators, such as pupil size and level of consciousness, provide valuable clues to the type of poisoning and subsequent treatment protocols. After determining the poisoning substance, management focuses on supportive care, which includes airway protection, administering activated charcoal to limit toxin absorption, and providing antidotes when appropriate [18].

Post-absorption elimination techniques, such as using multidose activated charcoal, may be employed to disrupt enterohepatic circulation, while more severe cases might require extracorporeal methods like hemodialysis, particularly in poisoning cases involving substances such as methanol or ethylene glycol. Following initial stabilization and treatment, continuous monitoring of vital signs and oxygen saturation is necessary, especially for patients who are severely affected or have underlying health conditions. For those presenting with intentional self-poisoning, a multidisciplinary approach involving psychological assessment is essential before discharge [19].

Overall, the successful management of acute poisoning in emergency settings hinges on rapid diagnosis, effective treatment, and meticulous follow-up care to address both the physical and psychological aspects of poisoning, ultimately aiming to improve patient outcomes and reduce the risks associated with toxic exposures.

Roles and Responsibilities of Healthcare Professionals

The Role of Emergency Medicine Technician (EMT) in Acute Poisoning Cases

Role of EMTs in Acute Poisoning Cases:

1. Initial Patient Assessment:

EMTs are often the first responders in acute poisoning cases. They begin by assessing the patient's airway, breathing, and circulation (the ABCs of emergency care).

EMTs collect vital information, such as the source of the poison (e.g., ingestion, inhalation, or skin contact), the time of exposure, and any symptoms the patient may be exhibiting. This information is critical for determining the appropriate treatment.

2. Stabilization and Monitoring of Vital Signs:

EMTs are responsible for stabilizing the patient during transport to the hospital. This includes monitoring vital signs like heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation.

They may provide oxygen therapy if the patient is experiencing respiratory distress or low oxygen levels, which is common in certain poisoning cases (e.g., carbon monoxide poisoning).

3. Initial Treatment and Decontamination:

EMTs may administer activated charcoal in cases of oral poisoning if the ingestion occurred within a specific time window, depending on local protocols and the poison involved. This helps absorb toxins in the gastrointestinal tract.

For chemical exposures, EMTs might perform decontamination procedures such as irrigating the skin with water or using specific antidotes if available and indicated (e.g., naloxone for opioid overdose).

In some cases, EMTs may assist in induced vomiting or gastric lavage, although these practices are becoming less common due to the risk of aspiration and other complications.

4. Administration of Specific Interventions:

EMTs are trained to administer specific antidotes or medications for certain poisons when appropriate. For example:

Naloxone for opioid overdoses.

Atropine for organophosphate poisoning.

Thiamine and glucose in cases of alcohol poisoning or thiamine deficiency.

EMTs also provide other medications to support vital functions, such as IV fluids for hydration and stabilization of blood pressure.

5. Effective Communication and Coordination with Medical Team:

EMTs communicate critical information about the patient's condition and the suspected poison to the receiving hospital or emergency department team, including doctors, nurses, and toxicologists. This enables the medical team to prepare for further interventions upon the patient's arrival.

In some cases, EMTs may consult with poison control centers to receive real-time advice on the management of specific toxic substances.

6. Transportation to Hospital:

Once the patient is stabilized, EMTs ensure the safe and swift transport to an emergency facility for definitive care.

During transportation, they continue to monitor the patient's condition, make adjustments to their treatment if necessary, and ensure that the receiving medical team is updated on the patient's status.

7. Documentation:

EMTs document all actions taken during the response, including assessments, treatments, medications administered, and communications with medical professionals.

This documentation is essential for the medical team and is also valuable for legal and administrative purposes.

Challenges Faced by EMTs in Acute Poisoning Cases:

1. Rapid Diagnosis:

Acute poisoning often presents with nonspecific symptoms that overlap with other medical conditions. EMTs must quickly identify possible poisoning and gather relevant information, which can be challenging if the patient is unconscious or uncooperative.

2. Exposure to Hazardous Substances:

EMTs may be exposed to toxic substances during decontamination efforts or by coming into contact with dangerous chemicals. Proper personal protective equipment (PPE) and safety protocols are critical in minimizing risks to EMTs.

3. Time Sensitivity:

Poisoning cases are time-sensitive, and EMTs must make quick decisions regarding treatment and transport to avoid irreversible damage to the patient. Delays in treatment can lead to severe complications, including organ failure or death.

4. Limited Resources:

EMTs often face challenges when treating patients outside of the hospital setting due to limited resources. This includes a lack of immediate access to advanced equipment, antidotes, or specialized medical support, making it crucial for EMTs to provide efficient and effective pre-hospital care.

The Role of Nurses in Acute Poisoning Management

Nurses play a critical role in the management of acute poisoning within emergency departments, functioning as frontline healthcare providers who assess, monitor, and care for affected patients. Their responsibilities begin with the swift evaluation of the patient's condition, which is essential for effective intervention. This includes taking comprehensive histories, conducting physical assessments, and administering necessary first-aid measures. Given the variety of toxic substances and pathways of exposure—such as ingestion, inhalation, or skin contact—nurses must possess a robust knowledge base regarding the types of poisons and their effects. Additionally, they are tasked with implementing established protocols for the immediate treatment of poisoning, which may involve administering antidotes, performing decontamination procedures, and ensuring the stabilization of vital signs [20].

Furthermore, nurses are key educators in the emergency setting, often providing patients and their families with critical information about the nature of the poisoning, potential complications, and preventive strategies for the future. The effectiveness of their care can be significantly enhanced through continuous professional development, including attending training and educational sessions on the latest evidence-based practices in toxicology and emergency care. In a study emphasizing the importance of educational guidelines, it was found that structured training not only improved nurses' competency in managing acute poisoning cases but also led to better patient outcomes. Thus, ongoing education and support for nurses are essential to maintaining high standards of care in acute poisoning situations, ultimately highlighting their indispensable role in emergency healthcare teams [21].

The Role of Emergency Physicians in Diagnosis and Treatment

The critical role of emergency physicians in the diagnosis and management of acute poisoning cases, highlighting the importance of early intervention and comprehensive clinical evaluation. Emergency physicians are tasked with the immediate stabilization of patients, which involves assessing and restoring vital functions such as airway, respiration, and circulation. Given the potential for combined and varying symptoms in poisoning cases, clinical differentiation between poisoning and other medical conditions is paramount. This diagnosis can be complex, particularly when patients present in altered states, such as coma or when intoxicated by someone else [22].

The systematic management approach that includes decontamination procedures for exposed individuals, such as washing the skin or eyes, and performing gastric lavage when appropriate. Following decontamination, physicians are expected to focus on eliminating the absorbed toxins, which may involve advanced techniques like hemodialysis or administering specific antidotes. Additionally, physicians must maintain accurate medical records and preserve samples that could be pertinent for legal investigations, as their role extends beyond medical treatment into the realm of medicolegal responsibility [23].

In acute poisoning cases, time is of the essence, and the physician's responsibilities include recognizing the urgency of treatment while navigating legal obligations that require prompt communication with authorities and meticulous documentation. Moreover, awareness of the local laws governing their practice is crucial as it impacts the way physicians manage these cases. Ignorance of legal responsibilities can lead to ramifications in malpractice lawsuits or criminal proceedings, underscoring the necessity for ongoing education and familiarity with relevant legal frameworks. Ultimately, the role of emergency physicians encompasses a dual responsibility: to provide adept medical care to save lives and to fulfill their medicolegal duties effectively [24].

The Role of Laboratory Technicians in Toxicological Analysis

The role of laboratory technicians in toxicological analysis is pivotal in the effective management of poisoning cases and understanding substance-related health impacts. These skilled professionals are responsible for accurately conducting laboratory tests that identify and quantify toxic substances in biological samples such as blood, urine, and tissues. Their work begins with meticulously preparing and handling specimens, ensuring that they are properly stored and labeled to prevent cross-contamination and misidentification [25].

Laboratory technicians employ various analytical techniques, including chromatography, mass spectrometry, and immunoassays, to detect and analyze the presence of toxic agents. These methods allow them to provide critical data that assists clinicians in diagnosing poisoning and formulating treatment plans. The precision of their work directly influences patient outcomes, as timely and accurate analysis can be the difference between life and death in acute poisoning scenarios [26].

Moreover, technicians must maintain stringent quality control standards to ensure the reliability and validity of their test results. This includes calibrating equipment, participating in proficiency testing, and adhering to regulatory guidelines. Their expertise also extends to staying updated on emerging toxins and trends in substance abuse, which is essential for adapting methodologies and protocols in response to evolving public health issues [27].

In addition to technical skills, effective communication is vital for laboratory technicians. They often collaborate closely with healthcare providers, providing insights into test results and assisting with the interpretation of complex data. This role becomes increasingly important in multidisciplinary teams where timely and accurate information is integral to patient management. Overall, the contributions of laboratory technicians in toxicological analysis not only enhance clinical decision-making but also play a crucial role in the broader field of forensic toxicology, thereby safeguarding public health [28].

Challenges in Coordination and Collaboration in Acute Poisoning Cases

Effective coordination between nurses, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians is essential in managing acute poisoning cases, but numerous challenges can hinder this collaboration. These challenges often stem from communication barriers, time-sensitive decision-making, workflow inefficiencies, resource limitations, and lack of standardized protocols. Addressing these obstacles is crucial to improving patient outcomes and ensuring a seamless response to poisoning emergencies.

1. Communication Barriers and Information Gaps

One of the most significant challenges in interdisciplinary coordination is ineffective communication between healthcare professionals. Acute poisoning cases often require rapid information exchange to determine the poisoning agent, assess the

patient's condition, and initiate the appropriate treatment. However, miscommunication or delays in relaying critical information—such as toxicology results, medication history, or patient vitals—can lead to errors in diagnosis and treatment delays. Studies have shown that emergency departments with poor interdepartmental communication experience higher rates of medical errors and increased patient mortality [29].

Additionally, the lack of structured communication protocols can exacerbate these issues. When information is conveyed informally or inconsistently, there is a risk of misinterpretation or omission of crucial details. Implementing standardized communication tools, such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, and Recommendation) or closed-loop communication, can help ensure that vital information is accurately transmitted among team members [30].

2. Time Constraints and High-Pressure Environments

Emergency departments operate under extreme time constraints, particularly in cases of severe poisoning where immediate intervention is required. Laboratory technicians often face pressure to deliver toxicology test results rapidly, while emergency physicians must make life-saving decisions based on limited initial information. In such high-pressure situations, delays in specimen collection, test processing, or result interpretation can significantly impact treatment decisions [31].

Moreover, nurses play a crucial role in patient triage and stabilization, but they often handle multiple emergency cases simultaneously. This workload can lead to delays in obtaining patient history, especially when dealing with unconscious or unresponsive patients who cannot provide information about their poisoning exposure. As a result, poor coordination between emergency teams and laboratory personnel can cause critical delays in identifying the type and severity of poisoning, leading to ineffective or delayed treatment interventions [32].

3. Workflow Inefficiencies and Role Overlaps

Another challenge in interdisciplinary coordination is the lack of clearly defined roles and responsibilities among team members. In many hospitals, the workflow between emergency physicians, nurses, and laboratory technicians is not well-structured, leading to confusion about who is responsible for specific tasks. This can result in duplication of efforts or missed responsibilities, particularly when there is no designated point of contact between departments [33].

4. Limited Access to Specialized Toxicology Services

Many hospitals, particularly in low-resource settings, lack in-house toxicology specialists or advanced laboratory facilities, making it difficult to confirm poisoning diagnoses accurately. In such cases, emergency teams must rely on external toxicology labs, which can take hours or even days to process samples, delaying critical treatment [34].

Furthermore, not all emergency physicians and nurses are trained in toxicology management, leading to gaps in knowledge about the latest antidote treatments, poisoning symptoms, and laboratory testing procedures. Inadequate access to poison control centers or toxicology experts can further complicate decision-making, forcing healthcare providers to rely on empirical treatments rather than evidence-based approaches. Integrating telemedicine consultations with toxicology specialists and expanding access to point-of-care toxicology testing can help bridge this gap [35].

5. Inconsistent Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Poisoning Cases

A major obstacle to effective coordination is the lack of standardized poisoning management protocols across hospitals. While some healthcare institutions have clear guidelines for handling poisoning cases, others lack formalized procedures, leading to variations in how cases are managed. These inconsistencies can cause delays in treatment, mismanagement of poisoning cases, and increased patient risk [36].

6. Technological and Infrastructure Challenges

Many hospitals face technological and infrastructure-related barriers that impact the efficiency of poisoning management. Outdated hospital information systems, lack of real-time electronic medical records (EMRs), and slow laboratory processing times can hinder coordination between departments. In emergency situations, manual record-keeping or reliance on paper-based laboratory reports can cause delays in result sharing, leading to treatment delays [37].

Moreover, some hospitals lack adequate bedside diagnostic tools, such as point-of-care testing (POCT) for toxicology screening, which forces physicians to wait for central laboratory results. The implementation of real-time lab results notifications, integration of electronic health records (EHRs), and bedside toxicology tests can significantly improve coordination and reduce response times in poisoning emergencies [38].

7. Resistance to Interdisciplinary Collaboration and Cultural Barriers

In many healthcare settings, hierarchical structures and professional silos can hinder effective teamwork. Nurses, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians often work in separate departments with limited cross-disciplinary interactions, leading to fragmented care. In some cases, misunderstandings about each profession's role can lead to conflicts or reluctance to collaborate [39].

Conclusion

Acute poisoning management relies on the seamless coordination between nursing staff, emergency physicians, and laboratory technicians. Successful patient outcomes are linked to the quality of interdisciplinary collaboration within healthcare settings. Implementing structured communication protocols, standardized operating procedures, and integrated technological solutions has improved response times and reduced medical errors in poisoning cases. However, challenges persist, such as communication barriers, resource constraints, and workflow inefficiencies, especially in settings with limited access to specialized toxicology services or advanced diagnostic capabilities. Addressing these obstacles requires a multi-faceted approach, including regular team training, technological upgrades, and the development of comprehensive poisoning management protocols. Fostering a collaborative culture within healthcare institutions, minimizing professional hierarchies and departmental silos, is crucial for building and maintaining effective interdisciplinary teams. Healthcare institutions must prioritize investments in infrastructure, technology, and personnel training to enhance their poisoning management capabilities. This includes implementing real-time laboratory reporting systems, expanding access to point-of-care testing, and establishing clear communication channels between departments. Developing standardized protocols that can be adapted across different settings ensures consistent and high-quality care delivery.

References

1. Emergency Management of Poisoning. Haddad and Winchester's Clinical Management of Poisoning and Drug Overdose. 2007:13–61. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-7216-0693-4.50007-4. Epub 2020 Jun 22. PMID: PMC7315350.
2. Daly FF, Little M, Murray L. A risk assessment based approach to the management of acute poisoning. *Emergency medicine journal*. 2006 May 1;23(5):396-9.
3. Van Hoving DJ, Hunter LD, Lategan HJ, Marks CJ. The burden of intentional self-poisoning on a district-level public Hospital in Cape Town, South Africa. *African journal of emergency medicine*. 2018 Sep 1;8(3):79-83.
4. Davies B, Hlela MB, Rother HA. Child and adolescent mortality associated with pesticide toxicity in Cape Town, South Africa, 2010–2019: a retrospective case review. *BMC public health*. 2023 Apr 28;23(1):792.
5. Benedict MO, Van Loggerenberg CJ, Steinberga WJ. The profile of deliberate self-poisoning cases presenting at the emergency department of Pelonomi Regional Hospital, Bloemfontein. *South African Family Practice*. 2019;61(1):11-7.
6. Stephen C. Human poisoning in South Africa-the knowledge gap. *Southern African Journal of Critical Care (Online)*. 2021 Mar;37(1):4-5.
7. National Institute for Communicable Diseases . NMC disease list v2_March2018 Page 1; p. 1–3 [homepage on the Internet]. 2018. Available from: https://www.nicd.ac.za/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/NMC-list_2018.pdf
8. Chary M, Boyer EW, Burns MM. Diagnosis of acute poisoning using explainable artificial intelligence. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2021 Jul 1;134:104469.
9. Smith GL, Banegas MP, Acquati C, Chang S, Chino F, Conti RM, Greenup RA, Kroll JL, Liang MI, Pisu M, Primm KM. Navigating financial toxicity in patients with cancer: a multidisciplinary management approach. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2022 Sep;72(5):437-53.
10. Karunarathna I, Ekanayake U, Gunawardana K, De Alvis K. Amphotericin B: A comprehensive overview of its clinical applications and toxicity management.

11. Ortmann MJ, Johnson EG, Jarrell DH, Bilhimer M, Hayes BD, Mishler A, Pugliese RS, Roberson TA, Slocum G, Smith AP, Yabut K. ASHP guidelines on emergency medicine pharmacist services. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*. 2021 Feb 1;78(3):261-75.
12. Timbrell J, Barile FA. *Introduction to toxicology*. CRC Press; 2023 Feb 27.
13. Rueda-Ruzafa L, Ropero-Padilla C, Ruiz-González C, Rodriguez-Arrastia M, Roman P, Sánchez-Labraca N. A nursing socio-environmental approach for acute pesticide poisoning: A qualitative focus group study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2023 May;79(5):1754-64.
14. Escobar Y, Margarit C, Pérez-Hernández C, Quintanar T, Virizuela JA. Good practice recommendations to better coordinate the management of oncological pain: a Delphi survey. *Scientific Reports*. 2022 Dec 28;12(1):22459.
15. Mustafa Y. Emergency Room Nurses' Perceptions of Medication Errors in the Emergency Department.
16. Nicolosi F, de Laurentis C, Giussani C, Zoia C, Spina G. Training and Education: The Present and Future. *Ethical Challenges for the Future of Neurosurgery*. 2024 Nov 16:257-77.
17. Department of Health (DOH). Standard treatment guidelines and essential medicines list for South Africa hospital level, adults 2019 edition; p. 1–694 [homepage on the Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://knowledgehub.health.gov.za/system/files/elibdownloads/2023-04/Hospital%2520Level%2520%2528Adult%2529%25202019_v2.0.pdf
18. Boyle JS, Bechtel LK, Holstege CP. Management of the critically poisoned patient. *Scandinavian journal of trauma, resuscitation and emergency medicine*. 2009 Dec;17:1-1.
19. Goga R, De Vasconcellos K, Singh D. Acute poisonings presenting to King Edward VIII hospital intensive care unit in Durban, South Africa. *Southern African Journal of Critical Care*. 2021 Mar 1;37(1):10-5.
20. Soave PM, Curatola A, Ferretti S, et al. Acute poisoning in children admitted to pediatric emergency department: a five-years retrospective analysis. *Acta Biomed*. 2022;93(1):1. DOI: 10.23750/abm.v93i1.11602.
21. Tassew SF, Feleke DG, Chane ES, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice of nurses working in south Gondar zone hospitals toward initial management of acute poisoning. *Pan African Med J*. 2022;7(32):1-9. DOI: 10.11604/pamj-oh.2022.7.32.32311.
22. Panda S, Kumar S, Bansal M. Ethical considerations in emergency medicine. *J Emerg Med*. 2021;60(3):512-518. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2021.07.006.
23. Raut PP, Pawade UV, Nikam AV, Anjankar MP. Medico-legal duties of doctor in case of suspected poisoning: A review. *Int J Res Ayurveda Pharm*. 2020;11(5):147-149.
24. Singhal SK. *Singhal's Toxicology. General Toxicology*. 9th ed. Mumbai: The National Book Depot; 2016.
25. Torky M, Shahin M, El-shahawy M. Minimizing in-hospital mortality in acute intoxication. *Zagazig J Forensic Med & Toxicology*. 2024;22(2):114-136.
26. Getie A, Belayneh YM. A retrospective study of acute poisoning cases and their management at emergency department of Dessie Referral Hospital, Northeast Ethiopia. *Drug Healthc Patient Saf*. 2020;12:41-48.
27. Bhat N, Prabhu HD, Krishna Bhat AG. A study to assess the utility of Poison Severity Score as predictors of mechanical ventilation in drug overdose patients. *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 2021;36(6):539-546.
28. Farzaneh E, Ghobadi H, Akbarifard M, Nakhaee S, Amirabadizadeh A, Akhavanakbari G, et al. Prognostic Factors in Acute Aluminium Phosphide Poisoning: A Risk-Prediction Nomogram Approach. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2018;123:347-355.
29. Subedi D, Paudel M, Poudel S, Koirala N. Food safety in developing countries: common foodborne and waterborne illnesses, regulations, organizational structure, and challenges of food safety in the context of Nepal. *Food Frontiers*. 2025 Jan;6(1):86-123.
30. Hudani A, Long J, Labonté R, Yaya S. Where are the links? Using a causal loop diagram to assess interactions in healthcare coordination for youth experiencing homelessness in Toronto, Canada. *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2024 Jan 30;22(1):19.
31. Ali A, Haider J. *Anesthesia and Emergency Medicine: Ensuring Patient Safety in High-Stakes Situations*.
32. Kara M. *A Comprehensive Framework for Emergency in Robotic Environments*. IEEE Access. 2023 Aug 2.
33. Mansouri K, Karmaus AL, Fitzpatrick J, Patlewicz G, Pradeep P, Alberga D, Alepee N, Allen TE, Allen D, Alves VM, Andrade CH. CATMoS: collaborative acute toxicity modeling suite. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2021 Apr 30;129(4):047013.

34. Kharel R, Ghimire R, Sharma R, Maleku K, Aluisio AR, Kazzi Z. Establishment of the First Institution-Based Poison Information Center in Nepal Through a Multilateral International Partnership. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2024 Oct 29;12(5).
35. Haines J, Tempowski J. Contribution of the World Health Organization to toxicology and poisons centers. In *History of Modern Clinical Toxicology* 2022 Jan 1 (pp. 493-504). Academic Press.
36. Gambin P. Risk management for antidotes and emergency preparedness.
37. Kharel R, Ghimire R, Sharma R, Maleku K, Aluisio AR, Kazzi Z. Establishment of the First Institution-Based Poison Information Center in Nepal Through a Multilateral International Partnership. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2024 Oct 29;12(5).
38. Khorram-Manesh A, Burkle Jr FM, Goniewicz K. Pandemics: past, present, and future: multitasking challenges in need of cross-disciplinary, transdisciplinary, and multidisciplinary collaborative solutions. *Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives*. 2024 Jul 23;15(4):267.
39. Alamri GM, Alsaif HS, Alsaif AA, Alfawaz FS, Alotaibi HM, Baurasien BK, Al-Shehri DA, Alharbi AA, Altulaihi SM. Addressing Antibiotic Resistance Through Interdisciplinary Collaboration Between Nursing and Pharmacy. *International journal of health sciences*.;6(S10):2144-72.